# The NCI SBIR Program

## How to Apply

Tips for submitting an application

## **Keys to a Strong Application**

- Significant, innovative, and focused science
- Significant product and/or commercial potential
  - A product-focused application is more likely to have support of business reviewers
  - A project with sound financial projections is more likely to attract a partner
- Translational research/clinical applications projects should involve the appropriate collaborators
  - Oncologists
  - Pathologists
  - Statisticians

### **Know NIH Review Criteria**

### Significance

 Does the study address an important problem and have commercial potential?

#### Approach

 Are design and methods well-developed and appropriate? Are problem areas addressed?

#### **Innovation**

 Are there novel concepts or approaches? Are the aims original and innovative?

#### Investigator

• Is the investigator appropriately trained and capable of managing the project?

#### **Environment**

 Does the scientific environment contribute to the probability of success? Is the environment unique?

#### Commercialization

Is the company's business strategy one that has a high potential for success?

## **Key #1**

## **Start Application Process Early!**

- Start developing your application as early as possible. You need time to develop a strong proposal.
- Seek help of experienced applicants early in process
- Assemble a strong scientific team
  - o If you have a weakness or gap in expertise, fill it early

## Key #2

# **Consider Your Company's Strengths and Weaknesses**

- Consider your company's strengths
  - Try to exploit those strengths to address a specific NIH Program initiative
- Consider your weaknesses too
  - It is rare that a small company will have all the necessary expertise for a strong application
  - If you have no track record of commercialization, consider getting a partner who does
- Partner with other companies or academics to fill gaps
- Contact NIH Program Director in advance to discuss your proposal and receive feedback
- Review similar currently funded projects in the NIH CRISP database (<a href="http://crisp.cit.nih.gov/">http://crisp.cit.nih.gov/</a>)

## **Key #3**

# Always Consider the Reviewers

## Who is going to review your application?

- 10 or more on the Review Panel who will score your application
- However, primary review by 2-4 persons with appropriate expertise assembled by SRA
- Combination of academic and business professionals

## **Key #3**

# Always Consider the Reviewers

- What are they looking for?
- Readable and understandable application
  - o Do not assume they will know everything you know
  - o You understand your application best so convey it to them

- Clear and concise language, "lay summary"
- Clear plan for Phase I, II and commercialization
- Feasible methods
- Appropriate objective tests of success for each Specific Aim
- Promising preliminary data are very influential
- Solid letters of support for commercialization

## **Key #3**

## Always Consider the Reviewers

- Read your material critically as if you were the Reviewer
  - O What are the weaknesses?
  - o Point out potential difficulties, do not hide them
  - Suggest ways to address them or provide rationale
  - o Recruit an independent reader
- Provide alternative methods if a particular approach is not successful

### Help the Reviewer write his analysis

### **Key #3**

### Always Consider the Reviewers

- Be realistic about your goals
  - Provide a feasible timetable for key objectives
- Be realistic about your budget
  - Ask Program Director for early guidance

## **Application Checklist**

- Have you honestly assessed the commercial viability of your technology?
- Do you have a talented professional to be a PI?
- Is the PI supported by the right team? Does he or she have the time?
- Do you have the resources to write the grant application or contract proposal?
- Do you have the resources and capabilities to execute?
- Do you have the business resources needed for a successful launch?

# If you aren't funded the first time...

- Use peer review to improve your technology and presentation
  - Reviewers often spot errors in the proposal
  - Reviewers will let you know if what you are proposing has been done before

- If peer reviewers "didn't get your proposal"
  - o Customers, investors, and employees may not get it either
  - o Fix errors, improve your presentation
- It's always painful not to be funded, but at least you get the feedback
- Explore opportunities to serve on NIH peer review panels
  - o Exposure to grantsmanship & insight into the review process
  - Meet bright colleagues

### http://sbir.cancer.gov/

Michael Weingarten
Director
NCI SBIR Development Center
Phone: 594-7709
weingartenm@mail.nih.gov

Register on web site for funding opportunity updates